

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/764,441 | 01/27/2004 | Minoru Kihara | 248067US 8701 | | |
| 22850 75 | 90 06/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| , | AK, MCCLELLAND, 1 | ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE | | | |
| 1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | , | | 3676 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/23/2000 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/764,44 | 11 | KIHARA, MINORU | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Gary Estre | - | 3676 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply | cation appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | lress | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF T | AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl | IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed | d on | | | | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | · | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| 6)[| | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | 8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | · | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| /. | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | application from the Internation | | | | Ŭ | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F | FO-948) PTO/SR/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | -152) | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | | 6) Other: | in processing (| ·, | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/764,441

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

2. I – as shown in Fig's 1-3 for example.

3. II – as shown in Fig 4 for example.

4. III – as shown in Fig 5 for example.

5. IV – as shown in Fig 6 for example.

6. V – as shown in Fig 7 for example.

The species are independent or distinct because structural differences between the

embodiments are (prior to examination) considered to be patentably distinct whereby

search and consideration of claims specifically drawn to the various embodiments in this

one application would place undue burden on the examiner as regards search required

and issues raised. It is further assumed (prior to examination) that should prior art be

found having limitations particular to one embodiment, not all of the other embodiments

would be rendered obvious.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Page 2

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

It is important for Applicant to carefully consider which if any claims are generic and indicate same as part of a complete Response to this Office Action. Likewise, Applicant should indicate which embodiment(s) of the invention and new or amended claims may be read. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,441 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57/14272-1/000.

Gaty Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676